

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/459,138	12/10/1999	LARRY K. JOHNSON	7040R	9687	
27752 7	590 04/14/2003				
	ER & GAMBLE CO	EXAMINER			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			HARAN, JOHN T		
6110 CENTER CINCINNATI,	R HILL AVENUE I, OH 45224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ź			1733	0.1	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003	21	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,			A		H)2			
····		Applicati	on N .	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/459,1	38	JOHNSON ET AL.				
		Examin	r	Art Unit				
		John T. H		1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHOF THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the period - If NO period - Failure to - Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of the first of the second of the communication of reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or to for reply is specified above, the maximum statute or reply within the set or extended period for reply will be received by the Office later than three months after a term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the stattory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a re tutory minimum of thirty rill expire SIX (6) MONT blication to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely HS from the mailing date of this co				
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>15 October 20</u>	<u>02</u> .					
2a) <u> </u>	his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for losed in accordance with the practice of Claims				e merits is			
4)⊠ Cl	aim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from co	nsideration.					
5)⊠ Cl	aim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Cl	aim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Cl	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.							
8) <u></u> Cl	aim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election r	equirement.					
Application	Papers							
9)∏ Th	e specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10) <u></u> Th∈	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a))□ accepted or b)□	objected to by th	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
	approved, corrected drawings are requi		ffice action.					
•	e oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.						
<u> </u>	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	knowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority ur	ider 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	All b) Some * c) None of:				•			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority do			•				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) <u></u> Ack	nowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
	The translation of the foreign langun nowledgment is made of a claim for		•					
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notice o	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(formal Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 09/459,138 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/15/02 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson'638 (US 5662638) in view of Kohler (US 2393541) and Heller (US 3574031).

The current Application is a CIP and the claims are not supported by any of the parent applications because the "electromagnetic field responsive member" language is not supported by the parent applications. Accordingly, the effective filing date of the current application is the actual filing date, 10 December 1999, and Johnson'638 therefore qualifies as prior art.

Application/Control Number: 09/459,138

Art Unit: 1733

Johnson'638 teaches a method of making a flangeless seam by joining two members of a disposable article (Abstract). Johnson'638 teaches the use of joining means and a barrier member with the claimed configurations in the process of making the flangeless seam (Figs. 1-12). While Johnson'638 indicates any suitable joining means may be used (col. 12, lines 17-21), Johnson'638 does not recite joining means comprising an electromagnetic field responsive member and the claimed step of applying an electromagnetic field.

Kohler and Heller provide clear motivation for using an adhesive having susceptor particles therein, the susceptor particles being heated by an electromagnetic field to activate the adhesive. Kohler teaches that such adhesive systems may be heated to the exact temperature desired without danger of overheating or burning and that heating is limited to the bonding interface, thereby reducing the power requirements and avoiding damage to the substrates being bonded (page 1, col. 2, lines 35-50; page 2, col. 1, lines 40-50). Heller teaches that such adhesive systems are particularly suitable for bonding thin films where flexibility must be maintained, the benefits including rapid and uniform generation of heat over the entire surfaces to be bonded (col. 3, lines 20-52; col. 4, lines 15-50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Johnson'638 with an adhesive/susceptor joining means and the claimed step of applying an electromagnetic field because one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to obtain the above stated benefits taught by Kohler and Heller.

. Application/Control Number: 09/459,138

Art Unit: 1733

Johnson'638 also does not suggest joining without the barrier member. The barrier member prevents the bonding of opposing proximal and distal portions that are not meant to be bonded (Column 15, lines 43-45). Johnson'638 is silent towards how or why these portions would be bonded if the barrier member was not present, but it appears that the joining means heats the surrounding areas and causes unwanted bonding. Kohler teaches that the advantages of using an adhesive with susceptor particles heated by an electromagnetic field include controlling the bonding temperature to avoid overheating and limiting the heating to the bonding interface. One skilled in the art would have readily appreciated when using an adhesive with susceptor particles in the method of Johnson'638 there is no need for the barrier member because such adhesives have the advantage of localized, controlled heating of the bond interface as taught in Kohler. One skilled in the art would have appreciated that bonding the portions to be joined with such an adhesive so as to avoid bonding portions that are not meant to be joined by controlling the heating and placing the adhesive only in the area to be bonded, thereby eliminating the need for the barrier member. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Johnson'638 with an adhesive/susceptor joining means and the claimed step of applying an electromagnetic field because one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to obtain the above stated benefits taught by Kohler and Heller and furthermore to perform the joining without a barrier member since the electromagnetic

heating achieves controlled, localized heating only of the bonding interface, thereby eliminating the need for the barrier member, as suggested in Kohler.

It is noted that claims 1 and 12 do not require the second member to be in a folded configuration as required in claim 4, and as such the configuration claimed by claims 1 and 12 when performing the joining read on Figure 4 of Johnson'638 and Figure 3A of the present application. One skilled in the art would have readily appreciated joining the members together with the adhesive with susceptor particles by heating with an electromagnetic field in the claimed configuration and that no barrier member is necessary because there is no folded portion of the second member that is not to be bonded, as claimed in claim 4 and illustrated in Figure 3 of Johnson'638 and Figure 3 of the present application.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 7, and 9, Kohler and Heller indicate that the adhesive may be applied as a film or a liquid (Kohler, page 3, col. 1, lines 1-10; Heller, col. 4, lines 15-75, col. 6, lines 10-15). Integrally connecting the adhesive/susceptor to the film of Johnson'638 prior to folding is the expected manner of applying the adhesive/susceptor system. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill would have been expected to appreciate that applying a film after folding is an equivalent manner of providing an adhesive at the desired location. Only the expected result of providing an adhesive at the desired location has been achieved.

The limitation of pulling apart to form the flangeless seam is clearly taught by Johnson'638 (Figs. 3 and 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/459,138 Page 6

Art Unit: 1733

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6 and 13-16 are allowed for the reasons provided on pages 5 and 6 of the office action mailed 05 June 2001.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Kohler teaches that the advantages of using an adhesive with susceptor particles heated by an electromagnetic field include controlling the bonding temperature to avoid overheating and limiting the heating to the bonding interface. One skilled in the art would have readily appreciated when using an adhesive with susceptor particles in the method of Johnson'638 there is no need for the barrier member because such adhesives have the advantage of localized, controlled heating of the bond interface as taught in Kohler. One skilled in the art would have appreciated that bonding the portions to be joined with such an adhesive so as to avoid bonding portions that are not meant to be joined by controlling the heating and placing the adhesive only in the area to be bonded, thereby eliminating the need for the barrier member.

Furthermore, it is noted that claims 1 and 12 do not require the second member to be in a folded configuration as required in claim 4, and as such the configuration claimed by claims 1 and 12 when performing the joining read on Figure 4 of Johnson'638 and Figure 3A of the present application. One skilled in the art would have readily appreciated joining the members together with the adhesive with susceptor particles by heating with an electromagnetic field in the claimed configuration and that

Art Unit: 1733

no barrier member is necessary because there is no folded portion of the second member that is not to be bonded, as claimed in claim 4 and illustrated in Figure 3 of Johnson'638 and Figure 3 of the present application.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John T. Haran whose telephone number is (703) 305-**0052**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8 - 5) and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael W. Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

John T. Haran

April 10, 2003

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1700